

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.1550 of 2016

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -137 Year- 2015 Thana -CHAKIA District-
EASTCHAMPARAN(MOTIHARI)

=====

Keyamuddin Ansari Son of Salamuddin Ansari, Resident of village-
Aauriya, P.S.- Harshidhi, District- East Champaran

.... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Vijay Shankar Shrivastava, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mrs. Renuka Ratnakar, A.P.P.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJENDRA KUMAR
MISHRA
ORAL ORDER

3 19-02-2016 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned

A.P.P. for the State.

The petitioner is accused in connection with Chakia P.S.

Case No. 137 of 2015 registered under Section 379 of the Indian

Penal Code.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the

petitioner is not named in the First Information Report as on the

basis of the written report of the informant Rahul Kumar Gupta,

Chakia P.S. Case No. 137 of 2015 was instituted against unknown

regarding theft of motorcycle. Later on, one Binod Kumar was

apprehended by the Police with motorcycle in connection

Ghorasahan (Jitna) P.S. Case No. 271 of 2015 which was

instituted in which she has confessed his guilt and disclosed the name of petitioner about committing the theft of motorcycle and from the house of the petitioner the stolen motorcycle of the present case has been recovered. Further submission is that the petitioner has no criminal antecedent except Ghorasahan (Jitna) P.S. Case No. 271 of 2015 in which the petitioner is on bail. The petitioner is in custody since 18.08.2015.

Having regard to the facts and the circumstances of the case, the petitioner above named, is directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/-(Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate II, Motihari, East Champaran in connection with Chakia P.S. Case No. 137 of 2015. Out of two sureties, one surety must be the close relative of the petitioner.

(Rajendra Kumar Mishra, J)

Vats/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--