

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.5689 of 2013

=====

1. Ranjit Kumar Thakur Son Of Sri Satya Narayan Thakur Resident Of
 Village Pandaul (Hatgachhi Tola) P.O. + P.S. Pandaul, District Madhubani
 Petitioner/s

Versus

1. Smt. Putul Devi Wife Of Ranjeet Kumar Thakur Daughter Of Surendra
 Prasad Thakur At Present Resident Of Village Bhauara Kotwali Chowk,
 Ward No. 26 Of Madhubani Town, P.O. Bhoura, P.S. And District
 Madhubani.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Yugal Kishore, Advocate

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Suraj Narayan Yadav, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ADITYA KUMAR TRIVEDI
ORAL ORDER

3 11-03-2016 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as
 learned counsel for the respondent.

Admittedly, parties are spouses. Petitioner is husband
 who has been directed to pay ad interim maintenance to the tune
 of Rs.1500/- per month and further, Rs. 5,000/- as lump sum
 against the cost of litigation.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that there
 happens to be illegality in the order as, without ascertaining the
 source of income, such condition has been imposed.

In the present, the economic scenario, cost of living,
 requirement and further obligation on the part of the petitioner,
 being husband coupled with the fact that the Government has
 launched so many schemes including MANREGA to have source

of income, does not allow the petitioner to raise such plea.

That being so, the instant petition sans merit and is, accordingly, rejected.

(Aditya Kumar Trivedi, J)

perwez

U			
---	--	--	--