

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.58612 of 2015

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -79 Year- 2013 Thana -MUZAFFARPUR SADAR District-
MUZAFFARPUR

=====

1. Shashi Bhushan Kumar Singh @ Shashi Bhushan Singh @ Sandip @
Fauji Singh Son of Shri Suresh Prasad Singh R/o Village Pagahiya, P.S.
Kathaiya, District Muzaffarpur

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ansul

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Pradeep Narain Kumar (App)

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA MOHAN
SHARMA**

ORAL ORDER

3 25-02-2016 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. representing the State.

The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Sadar
P.S. Case No. 79 of 2013 registered for the offences punishable
under Sections 302/34, 120 (B) of the Indian Penal Code and
Section 27 of the Arms Act.

Allegedly, 6-7 miscreants shot Pankaj Singh, causing
serious injury and further after firing in air, the miscreants fled
away and the injured Pankaj Singh was sent to S.K.M.C.H.,
Muzaffarpur, but he was declared dead. During investigation the
name of the petitioner transpired in the third statement of the wife
of the deceased that the petitioner has informed the media men

that he has committed the crime.

Submission is of false implication and that the deceased has stated the name of culprits to his wife but the petitioner is not there, the wife of the deceased earlier has not taken the name of the petitioner but later on she has implicated the petitioner also which is not reliable, the petitioner has not informed any media man in this regard and allegation is baseless, only on the basis that the petitioner has got criminal antecedent he has been implicated in this case resulting he is in custody since 30.6.2014 and as such he deserves sympathetic consideration to which the learned A.P.P. fairly submits that the name of the petitioner was taken by the wife of the deceased in third attempt but the petitioner has got criminal antecedent.

In the facts and circumstances stated above, the petitioner is directed to be released on bail on execution of bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the Learned C.J.M., Muzaffarpur, in connection with Muzaffarpur Sadar, P.S. Case No. 79 of 2013, subject to the conditions that one of the bailors must be near relative and another having sufficient immovable property within the territorial jurisdiction of the court concerned and the petitioner shall remain present on each and every date

during trial and the default on two consecutive dates on his part without any reason shall disentitle the petitioner from privilege of bail.

(Jitendra Mohan Sharma, J)

siddharth/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

