

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.425 of 2016

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -339 Year- 2011 Thana -AURANGABAD TOWN District-
AURANGABAD

=====

1. Haribansh Singh Son of Tribhuvan Singh Resident of Village -
Dakshinayan Housing Colony, Tegharia, Block - A, 2nd Floor, Tegharia,
Near Loknath Temple, P.O. Hatiara, P.S. Baguiati, Kolkata - 700059, West
Bengal.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Paramendra Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. J.N.Thakur(App)

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA MOHAN
SHARMA**

ORAL ORDER

2 13-01-2016 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel representing the State.

Petitioner seeks bail in connection with Aurangabad
Town P.S. Case No. 339 of 2011 registered for the offences
punishable under Sections 420, 467, 468 and 406 of the Indian
Penal Code.

The petitioner wants to renew his prayer for bail, which
was earlier rejected vide order dated 07.10.2015 passed in Cr.
Misc. No. 25211 of 2015, on the ground that the petitioner is
suffering in custody for last one year and the petitioner has been
allowed bail in 20 cases out of 22 cases. The petitioner is simply a
paid employee of the company whereas the Director of the

company namely, Surendra Mishra has been allowed pre-arrest bail vide order dated 27.11.2015 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 35912 of 2015 by another co-ordinate Bench of this Court.

Learned APP fairly submits that the petitioner now by remaining in custody has sufficiently been penalized.

In the facts and circumstances stated above, the petitioner above named is directed to be released on bail on execution of bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Aurangabad in connection with Aurangabad Town P.S. Case No. 339 of 2011, subject to the conditions that one of the bailors must be a near relative and another having sufficient immovable property within the territorial jurisdiction of the court concerned and the petitioner shall remain present on each and every date during trial and the default on two consecutive dates on his part without any reason shall disentitle the petitioner from privilege of bail.

(Jitendra Mohan Sharma, J)

avin/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--