

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.746 of 2016

=====

Nira Devi, wife of Madhusudan Sharma, Resident of Village- Metra, Post Office- Atiawa, Gram Panchayat- Bharthu, Police Station and Block- Ghoshi, District- Jehanabad at present Mukhiya of the Bharthu Gram Panchayat.

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.
2. The Chief Secretary, Government of Bihar, Patna
3. The Principal Secretary, Rural Development Department, Patna.
4. The Deputy Secretary, Rural Development Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
5. The Director, Panchayati Raj Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
6. The Commissioner, Magadh Division, Gaya.
7. The District Magistrate, Jehanabad.
8. The District Development Commissioner, Jehanabad.
9. The Sub-Divisional Officer, Jehanabad.

.... Respondents 1st Set.

10. The Principal Secretary, the Cabinet Vigilance Department, Government of Bihar, Patna

... Respondent 2nd Set.

11. Babloo Kumar, son of not known to the petitioner, at present working as Rural Development Officer/Block Development Officer Ghoshi Block, District- Jehanabad.

.... Respondent 3rd Set.

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. Jitendra Kumar Roy, Advocate

For Respondent Nos.1 to 9 : Mr. Anjani Kumar, A.A.G.No.6

For respondent No.10 : Mr. Ramakant Sharma, Senior Advocate
Mr. Rabindra Kumar, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE

And

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HEMANT GUPTA

ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE)

2 08-02-2016

Heard Mr. Jitendra Kumar Roy, learned Counsel,

appearing for the petitioner, and Mr. Anjani Kumar, learned

Additional Advocate General No.6, appearing for respondent

Nos.1 to 9. Also heard Mr. Ramakant Sharma, learned Senior

Counsel, appearing for respondent No.10.

After some arguments, Mr. Jitendra Kumar Roy, learned Counsel, appearing for the petitioner, seeks permission to withdraw this application with liberty to approach the competent authority with appropriate application, if so advised, and/or to take recourse to such provisions of law as may be permissible.

No objection has been raised by the respondents to the prayer for withdrawal made by the petitioner.

In view of the above and in the interest of justice, this writ application is hereby disposed of as withdrawn with liberty granted to the petitioner to approach this Court with appropriate application, in future, if so advised, and/or to take recourse to such provisions of law as may be permissible.

(I.A. Ansari, ACJ)

(Hemant Gupta, J)

Sunil/-

U			
---	--	--	--