

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No. 28878 of 2013

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -227 Year- 2012 Thana -QASIMBAZAR District- MUNGER

- =====
1. Amarjit Kumar @ Mukesh Yadav S/O Vilakshan Yadav Resident of Village- Badi Govindpur, P.S.- Dharhara, District- Munger
 2. Rana Yadav S/O Ramadhar Yadav Resident of Village- Badi Govindpur, P.S.- Dharhara, District- Munger
 3. Ajay Singh S/O Rajendra Prasad Singh Resident of Village- Dariyapur, P.S.- Dharhara, District- Munger

.... Petitioners

Versus

The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Md. Khurshid Alam

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Murlidhar (App)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2. 29-04-2016 Heard Md. Khurshid Alam, learned counsel for the petitioner and learned Addl. Public Prosecutor.

Three petitioners have approached this Court invoking its inherent jurisdiction under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, with a prayer to quash order dated 18-05-2013 passed in Sessions Trial No. 226 of 2013 (arising out of Qasim Bazar P.S. Case No. 227 of 2012) registered for the offence under Sections 399, 402 of the Indian Penal Code and Sections 25(1-b) A, 26, 35 of the Arms Act, 1959. By the said order, Sri V.K. Singh, learned Adhoc Addl. District & Sessions Judge III, Munger has rejected the petition filed under Section 227

of the Cr.P.C. for their discharge.

Learned counsel for petitioners has argued that petitioners were falsely made accused in the present case and without any cogent material, they were charge-sheeted and even though, there is no material in the case diary, the learned Trial Judge has rejected the discharge petition.

Besides hearing learned counsel for the parties, I have also perused the material on record. On going through the impugned order, I do not find any apparent error warranting interference.

The petition stands dismissed.

(Rakesh Kumar, J.)

Anay

U		T	
---	--	---	--