

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.56385 of 2015

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -316 Year- 2015 Thana -PURNEA SADAR District- PURNIA

1. Ranjeet Pathak, son of Late Uday Kant Pathak, resident of Village- Malti,
P.S.- Fulwariya, Distt.- Begusarai, at present Puran Devi Mandir, Purnea
City, P.S. Sadar, Distt.- Purnea.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Vijay Anand

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Arun Kumar (App)

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA MOHAN
SHARMA**
ORAL ORDER

4 28-03-2016

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner as well as
the learned A.P.P for the State.

The petitioner seeks bail in a case for the offences
punishable under sections 323, 341, 324, 302 and 120 B of the
I.P.C

Allegedly, the son of the informant was stabbed and
during injured condition the son of the informant told the
informant and others that one Pathak and his three associates have
injured him. During treatment the son of the informant died.

Submission is of false implication and that during
investigation the prosecution story has been developed that one
Pathak of Puran Devi and his three associates were involved in the

crime and further the wife of the deceased has named the petitioner, later on it transpires that in the crime there was hand of wife of the deceased also and accordingly she was arrested and she confessed her guilt.

The learned A.P.P. submits that later on, on 01.11.2015 the father of the deceased filed a petition suspecting the hand of his daughter-in-law in killing of his son.

In the facts and circumstances as stated above, considering that against the petitioner charge sheet has already been submitted and there is no chance of tampering with the prosecution evidence and as such the petitioner is directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of C.J.M. Purnea in Sadar P.S. Case No. 316 of 2015, subject to the conditions that one of the bailors must be a near relative and another having sufficient immovable property within the territorial jurisdiction of the court concerned and the petitioner shall remain present on each and every date during trial and the default on two consecutive dates on his part without any reason shall disentitle the petitioner from privilege of bail.

(Jitendra Mohan Sharma, J)

Abhay/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--