

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Writ Jurisdiction Case No.22 of 2016

=====

1. Jay Nandan Kumar, Son of Late Tapeshwar Singh, Resident of Village+
PO- Tej Bigaha, P.S.- Kako (Bhelawar O.P), District- Jehanabad.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Chief Secretary, Government of Bihar, Patna .
2. The Principal Secretary, Department of Home, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Secretary, Home (Police) Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
4. The Director General of Police, Government of Bihar, Patna.
5. The Inspector General of Police, Magadh Range, Gaya.
6. The Deputy Inspector General of Police, Gaya.
7. The Superintendent of Police, Jehanabad.
8. The Thanadhyaksh, Kao Police Station (O.P.- Bhelawar) District- Jehanabad.
9. The District Magistrate, Jehanabad.
10. The Circle Officer, Kako District- Jehanabad.
11. Tunnu Ram, Son of Late Rajendra Ram.
12. Rameshi Ram, son of Late Rajendra Ram.
13. Amit Kumar, Son of Rameshi Ram,
14. Krishna Yadav, son of Late Ram Charitra Yadav,
15. Devendra Yadav, Son of Late Ram Lakshan Yadav,
16. Rajendra Yadav, Son of Late Ram Lakshan Yadav,
17. Chandra Dev Yadav, son of Late Ram Lakshan Yadav, All 11 to 17 resident of Village- Ranipur, P.S.- Kako (O.P. Bhelawar), District- Jehanabad.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sanjay Kumar Pandey No-5

For the Respondent/s : Md. Raisul Haque (SC 4)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE
ORAL ORDER

5 01-02-2016 Heard Mr. Sanjay Kumar Pandey No.5, learned

Counsel for the petitioner, and Md. Obawdullah, learned Assistant

Counsel to Standing Counsel No.4, appearing on behalf of the

State.

Learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to withdraw this application with liberty to approach this Court with appropriate application in future.

No objection has been raised to the prayer for withdrawal made by the petitioner.

In view of the above and in the interest of justice, the application is hereby disposed of as withdrawn with liberty granted to the petitioner to approach this Court with appropriate application in future, if so advised, and/or to take recourse to such provisions of law as may be permissible including instituting appropriate suit in a Civil Court of competent jurisdiction and if such a suit is filed, the same shall be dealt with and decided expeditiously in accordance with law.

(I.A. Ansari, ACJ)

Mkr./-

U		T	
---	--	---	--