

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CIVIL MISCELLANEOUS JURISDICTION No.1089 of 2016

=====

Krishna Jeevan Thakur

.... Appellant/s

Versus

Sunita Devi & Ors

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Appellant/s : Mr. Naresh Chandra Verma

For the Respondent/s : Mr.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MUNGESHWAR
SAHOO
ORAL ORDER

2 17-11-2016

Heard Mr. Naresh Chandra Verma, learned counsel

for the petitioner and learned counsel, Mr. Surendra Kishore Thakur, for the respondents.

Perused the impugned order and also perused the amendment application filed by the plaintiff, which is Annexure 2 to this civil miscellaneous application.

At the time of hearing of this civil miscellaneous application, learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that he is not pressing for amendment of para 9 (K) in the plaint. On the other hand, learned counsel for the respondents is not objecting for amendment of other part of amendment application i.e. for amendment of para 9 (kha) and 13 (Kha).

In view of the above facts and circumstances of the case this civil miscellaneous application is allowed only to the effect that the amendment application filed by plaintiff is allowed,

except para 9 (K) mentioned in para 1 of the amendment application.

Accordingly, the impugned order passed by the learned Sub Judge (Senior Division), Hajipur at Vaishali in Title Suit No. 392 of 2007 is modified to the extent as indicated above.

Thus, this civil miscellaneous application is disposed of.

(Mungeshwar Sahoo, J)

singh/-

U			
---	--	--	--