

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No 15627 of 2016

- =====
- 1 Sushil Kumar, son of Shri Narayan Yadav, resident of Flat No 103, Maa Jegeshwari Tower, Mahatma Gandhi Nagar, Kanti Factory Road, Kankarbagh, Patna – 20
 - 2 Rajnish Ranjan, son of Shri Ram Sharan Yadav, resident of C/o – Bijay Chemistry Centre, Bapu Nagar, Baluahi, Post + Police Station – Khagaria, District – Khagaria
 - 3 Shweta, daughter of Shri Mahendra Prasad, resident of Village – Rupaspur, Post – Sahay Nagar, Police Station – Rupaspur, District – Patna
 - 4 Kumari Jyoti Prabha, daughter of Shri Jawahar Lal Sahl, resident of Near Dr L K W D College, Post + Police Station – Tajpur, District – Samastipur, PIN – 848 130

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

- 1 The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Department of Health, Government of Bihar, Patna
- 2 The Director-in-Chief, Health Services, Government of Bihar, Patna
- 3 The Staff Selection Commission through its Secretary, Post – Veterinary College, Patna – 14
- 4 The Chairman, Bihar Staff Selection Commission, Post – Veterinary College, Patna – 14
- 5 The Secretary, Bihar Staff Selection Commission, Post – Veterinary College, Patna - 14

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : M/s Chakrapani, Sanjay Kr Singh & Dipak Kr, Advs

For the Respondent/s : Mr Chitranjan Sinha – PAAG 2 with
Mr Ranjan Kr Singh, AC to PAAG 2

For the S S C : M/s Kamla Kant Upadhyay & Chandra Bhushan Das,
Advocates

=====

CORAM: HON'BLE MR JUSTICE NAVANITI PRASAD SINGH

And

HON'BLE JUSTICE SMT NILU AGRAWAL

ORAL JUDGMENT

(Per: HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE NAVANITI PRASAD SINGH)

Date: 29-09-2016

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned counsel
for the State.

2 The parties are ad idem that the issues involved is squarely

covered by the recent decision of this Court in *CWJC No 11092 of 2016* dated 05.09.2016 (Rupesh Kumar & Others –Versus- State of Bihar & Others) which is Annexure 7 to this writ petition.

3 Accordingly, this writ petition is also allowed in terms of the judgment of this Court, referred to above.

4 Accordingly, the writ petitioners should be eligible for being considered for appointment for the post of x-ray technician pursuant to the aforesaid advertisement.

(Navaniti Prasad Singh, J)

M.E.H./-

(Nilu Agrawal, J)

U			
---	--	--	--