

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.48556 of 2015

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -34 Year- 2013 Thana -AMAS District- GAYA

=====

Ramashish Yadav S/o Narayan Yadav resident of Village - Korap, P.S.
Anti, District - Gaya

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rakesh Singh

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. S.Dayal(App)

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA MOHAN
SHARMA**

ORAL ORDER

3 28-01-2016 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. representing the State.

The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Amas P.S.
Case No. 34 of 2013 registered for the offence punishable under
Section 394 of the Indian Penal Code.

Allegedly in Madhya Bihar Gramin Bank Chandisthan,
four un-known miscreants committed robbery and took away cash
of Rs. 4,10,750/- and further snatched other document also.
During investigation name of the petitioner transpires in the
confessional statement of co-accused Rakesh Kumar @ Lulha and
further co-accused Raviranjana @ Chhotu also confessed his guilt
stating the name of the petitioner.

Submission is of false implication and that the
petitioner is in custody since 20.09.2014, he has not been put on

TIP, besides confessional statement there is nothing against him, nothing has been recovered from possession of the petitioner and, as such, he deserves sympathetic consideration as co-accused Rakesh Kumar @ Lulha, who has named the petitioner, has already been allowed bail vide order dated 26.06.2015 passed in Cri. Misc. No. 17666 of 2015.

The learned A.P.P. fairly submits that besides confessional statement, there is nothing against the petitioner.

In the facts and circumstances stated above, the petitioner, above named, is directed to be released on bail on execution of bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the Learned Judicial Magistrate-1st Class, Sherghatty, Distt. Gaya arising out of Amas P.S. Case No. 34 of 2013 subject to the conditions that one of the bailors must be near relative and another having sufficient immovable property within the territorial jurisdiction of the court concerned and the petitioner shall remain present on each and every date during trial and the default on two consecutive dates on his part without any reason shall disentitle the petitioner from privilege of bail.

(Jitendra Mohan Sharma, J)

sushma/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--