

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.2598 of 2016

IN

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 18448 of 2015

=====

M/s. Unicon Commotrade Pvt. Ltd. a registered company having on of it's place of business at Ruidhasa, Kishanganj-855107 through it's authorized representative Ganesh Kumar Goenka son of Late Shriram Goenka, resident of Babupara, P.S. Siliguri, District- Siliguri.

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. The Bihar State Power Transmission Company Ltd. Transmission Area, 132/33 KV Grid, S/S Campus, Bhikhanpur, P.O. and District- Muzaffarpur through it's Managing Director R. Laxmanan.
2. Sudhir Prasad Singh, the General Manager cum Chief Engineer, Transmission Area, 132/33 KV Grid, S/S Camput Bhikhanpur, P.O. and District- Muzaffarpur.
3. Satya Narayan Kumar, The Electrical Superintending Engineer, Trans Circle, Purnea.
4. Pankaj Kumar, The Electrical Executive Engineer (T), Trans Circle Store, Purnea.
5. Msum RAza, The Assistant Electrical Engineer T/S/D, Trans Circle Store, Purnea.
6. The Assistant Electrical Engineer (T), Bihar State Power Transmission Company Ltd., Purnea.
7. A K Srivastava, The Store Assistant, T/C/S Bihar State Power Transmission Company Ltd., Purnea.
8. B.B. Singh, Director, M/s M.S.T.C. Ltd, having it's eastern regional office at 225 F, AJC Bose Road, 3rd Floor, Kolkata-700020.

.... Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. Gautam Kejriwal, Adv.
Mrs. Aishwarya Riti, Adv.

For the Respondents : Mr. Anand Kumar Ojha, Adv.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VIKASH JAIN

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 31-08-2016

The matter has been listed under the heading for "Orders on Office Notes" for removal of defects.

2. The parties however jointly pray that the matter may be disposed of in view of the stand of the respondents in para-6 of the

show cause filed today after serving a copy thereof on learned counsel for the petitioner. According to Annexure-S/1 enclosed with such show cause, a reasoned order has been passed on the representation of the petitioner in compliance of the order of this Court passed in C.W.J.C. No. 18448 of 2015.

3. In the above view of the matter, MJC No. 2598 of 2016 stands disposed of with liberty to the petitioner that in case it is aggrieved by the order of the authorities as noticed above, it may agitate the same before an appropriate forum in accordance with law, if so advised.

(Vikash Jain, J)

Md. Ibrarul/BT

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	N/A
Uploading Date	02.09.2016
Transmission Date	N/A