

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CIVIL MISCELLANEOUS JURISDICTION No.575 of 2016**

=====

Sudhir Kumar Singh

.... Appellant/s

Versus

Rakesh Kumar Ranjan

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Appellant/s : Mr. Neeraj Kumar

For the Respondent/s : Mr.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MUNGESHWAR SAHOO
ORAL ORDER

2 07-09-2016

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner.

Perused the impugned order dated 10.05.2016 passed by the learned Sub Judge V, Saharsa in Title Suit No.53 of 2011 which was filed by the plaintiff for eviction of the tenant-petitioner under the B.B.C. Act.

From perusal of the impugned order, I find that the Court below has passed a reasoned order giving reasons for rejecting the application filed by the petitioner whereby the substitution application has been allowed after condoning the delay.

In that view of the matter, I do not find any reason to interfere with the impugned order in exercise of supervisory jurisdiction. Accordingly, this Civil Miscellaneous application is dismissed.

(Mungeshwar Sahoo, J)

Saurabh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--