

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Criminal Writ Jurisdiction Case No.854 of 2016

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -null Year- null Thana -null District- BEGUSARAI

Jagdish Ishwar, S/o Late Medni Ishwar, Resident of Village- Siwri (Manjhaul),
P.S. Cheriabariyarpur, District- Begusarai.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Chief Secretary, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Director General of Police, Bihar, Patna.
3. Inspector General of Police, Bhagalpur.
4. Deputy Inspector General of Police, Munger.
5. The District Magistrate, Begusarai
6. The Superintendent of Police, Begusarai.
7. The Deputy Superintendent of Police, Manjhaul.
8. The Deputy Collector of Land Reforms, Manjhaul, Sub-Division, District- Begusarai.
9. The Sub-Divisional Officer, Manjhaul, District- Begusarai.
10. The Circle Officer, Naokothi Circle, Sub-Division Manjhaul, District- Begusarai.
11. The Station House Officer, Manjhaul.
12. Tribhuvan Singh Kunwar, Son of Suresh Kunwar, resident of village- Salona, P.S. Bakhri, District- Begusarai.
At Present resident of village- Siwri, P.S.- Cheriabariyarpur, District- Begusarai.

.... Respondent/s

Appearance:

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Nakul Kumar Jamwar, Advocate.

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Saroj Kumar Sharma, AC to AAG-3.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HEMANT GUPTA

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 06-09-2016

The petitioner has invoked the writ jurisdiction of this Court claiming a direction to the respondents to provide protection to his life and property as the same is at danger from respondent no. 12.

The petitioner claims to be in possession of land pertaining to Mauza Manjaul, Tauzi No. 11440, Khata No. 1608,

Khesra No. 6295 and Area 1 Katha, 7 Dhur, 15 Dhurki, Circle-Naokothi, Sub-Division-Manjhaul, District-Begusarai. The respondent no. 12 is said to be his neighbour and therefore, the petitioner submits that he wants to grab his valuable land forcibly and to kill him.

From perusal of the record, it appears that a proceeding under Section 188 I.P.C. has been initiated against the Respondent no. 12. If that be so, no further order is required to be called for in the present proceeding. The petitioner has liberty to prosecute the proceedings under Section 188 I.P.C. in accordance with law. However, no direction is warranted to be issued to provide protection to life and property of the petitioner.

Accordingly, the present petition is dismissed.

(Hemant Gupta, J.)

Mishra/-

AFR/NAFR	N.A.F.R.
CAV DATE	N.A.
Uploading Date	09.09.2016
Transmission Date	N.A.