

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.2425 of 2016

In
Cr. WJC 497 of 2014

=====

Drawpadi Dwivedi, wife of Sri Ram Nivas Dwivedi, resident of village -
Ugo, P.O. Khujwa, P.S. & Circle - Raghunathpur, District - Siwan,
presently residing at Flat No. A - 1104, Koyala Vihar, Vasundhara, V.I.P.
Road, P.O. Airpur, Kolkata, West Bengal

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. The Principal Secretary, Department of Home, Government of Bihar,
Patna
3. The Secretary, Home (Police) Department, Government of Bihar,
Patna
4. The Director General of Police, Government of Bihar, Patna
5. The Inspector General of Police, Muzaffarpur
6. The Deputy Inspector General of Police, Saran Range, Chapra
7. The Superintendent of Police, Siwan
8. The Thanadhyaksh, Sarai Police Station, Makdoom Sarai, District -
Siwan

... Respondent 1st Set.

9. The Bihar State Sugar Corporation through its Managing Director, at
Arunalaya, Ram Jaipal Nagar, Bailey Road, Patna
10. The Cane Commissioner Cum Managing Director, Bihar State Sugar
Corporation Limited at Sugar Cane Industry Department Vikash
Bhawan, New Secretariat, Patna
11. The S.K.G. Sugar Mill Siwan Unit (Bihar State Sugar Corporation
Limited) at Makdoom Sarai, P.O. & District Siwan through its General
Manager
12. The General Manager, S.K.G. Sugar Mill Siwan Unit (Bihar State
Sugar Corporation Limited) at Makdoom Sarai, P.O. District – Siwan.

.... Respondents 2nd Set.

13. The District Magistrate, Siwan
14. The Circle officer, Hussainganj, District - Siwan

.... Respondents 3rd Set.

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Subash Kumar, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Gyan Shankar

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHWANI KUMAR SINGH

ORAL ORDER

2 17-08-2016

By way of the present application preferred under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, the petitioner seeks restoration of Cr. WJC No. No. 497 of 2014 which stood dismissed for want of prosecution vide order dated 02.06.2016 passed by this Court.

It is submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner that counsel for the petitioner failed to appear before the Court when the matter was called out and by the time he entered into the court room, the case was already dismissed for want of prosecution.

Regard being had to the submission made at the Bar, Cr. WJC No. No. 497 of 2014 is directed to be restored to its original file. The application stands allowed.

(Ashwani Kumar Singh, J.)

Kanchan/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--