

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.22483 of 2016

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -350 Year- 2015 Thana -CHANDI District- NALANDA
(BIHARSHARIFF)

=====

Ramjanam Paswan son of Late Ravindra Paswan, resident of village-
Gunjarchak, P.S. Chandi, District- Nalanda

.... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Vinod Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ram Bachan Singh(App)

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA MOHAN
SHARMA**
ORAL ORDER

2 24-05-2016 Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner as well

as the learned A.P.P for the State.

The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Chandi
P.S. Case No. 350 of 2015 registered for the offences punishable
under Sections 341, 323, 379, 380 and 307/34 of the Indian Penal
Code.

This case has been lodged on the basis of complaint
petition field by Anandi Paswan. Allegation against the petitioner
is that he assaulted the informant with handle of diesel engine and
further opened fire on the complainant informant with an intention
to kill him but that did not hit and thereafter accused persons took
away articles valuing Rs. 26,000/-.

Submission is of false implication, the occurrence

has taken place due to cultivation dispute for payment of cost of irrigation, other co-accused have been allowed pre-arrest bail by the learned court below itself, there is no injury report in the case diary which is evident from the impugned order itself. The petitioner is suffering in custody since 07.01.2016 having no criminal antecedent and, as such, he deserves sympathetic consideration to which the learned APP opposes.

In the facts and circumstances stated above, the petitioner, above named, is directed to be released on bail on execution of bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Nalanda in connection with Chandi P.S. Case No. 350 of 2015, subject to the conditions that one of the bailors must be a near relative and another having sufficient immovable property within the territorial jurisdiction of the court concerned and the petitioner shall remain present on each and every date during trial and the default on two consecutive dates on his part without any reason shall disentitle the petitioner from privilege of bail.

(Jitendra Mohan Sharma, J)

Mishra/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--