

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.1891 of 2016

=====

Daya Sagar Prasad, son of Rameshwar Prasad, resident of village Laukariya,
P.S. Bairiya, District West Champaran.

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. State of Bihar through Shri Anjani Kumar Singh, son of name not known, present posted as Chief Secretary, Govt. of Bihar, Old Secretariat, Patna.
2. Shri Arun Kumar Singh, son of name not known, presently posted as Principal Secretary, Water Resources Department, Govt. of Bihar, Old Secretariat, Patna.
3. Shri Lokesh Kumar Singh, son of name not known, presently posted as District Magistrate, West Champaran at Bettiah.
4. Shri Kailu Sardar, son of name not known, presently posted as Chief Engineer, Water Resources Department, Balmiki Nagar Camp at Motihari, District West Champaran.
5. Shri Arun Kumar, son of name not known, presently posted as Superintending Engineer, Water Resources Department, Drainage Circle, Motihari, East Champaran.
6. Shri Anshuman Thakur, son of name not known, presently posted as Executive Engineer, Champaran Division, Motihari, East Champaran.
7. Shri Prabhu Narayan Pandey, son of name not known, presently posted as Assistant Engineer, Champaran Embankment Sub Division I, Bettiah, District West Champaran.
8. Shri Bhuneshwar Singh, son of name not known, presently posted as Junior Engineer, Champaran Embankment Sub Division I, Bettiah, District West Champaran,

.... Opposite Parties

=====

Appearance:

For the Petitioner : Mr. Sanjay Kumar No.7, Advocate
For the Opposite Parties : Mr. Bijoy Kumar Sinha, AC to AAG 1

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VIKASH JAIN

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 30-11-2016

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned counsel
for the opposite parties.

2. The present petition has been filed for initiating contempt
proceeding against the opposite parties for willful disobedience of the
order of this Court dated 29.02.2016 passed in CWJC No. 20303 of

2014 requiring the authorities to consider the petitioner’s case and taking decision at their end.

3. A show cause has been filed on behalf of the OP No. 6 according to which the order of this Court has been complied with in terms of letter No. 180 dated 25.07.2016 (Annexure-D).

4. In the above view of the matter, this Court is not satisfied that there is willful disobedience of the order of this Court, inasmuch as such order has been passed by the opposite parties after duly granting opportunity to the petitioner for supplying the relevant documents which is not disputed on behalf of the petitioner.

5. The contempt petition accordingly stands disposed of with liberty to the petitioner that in case he is aggrieved by the order of the authorities as noticed above, he may agitate the same before an appropriate forum in accordance with law, if so advised.

(Vikash Jain, J)

Chandran/BT

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	N.A.
Uploading Date	02.12.2016
Transmission Date	N.A.