

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.20762 of 2016

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -999 Year- 2015 Thana -SAHARSA District- SAHARSA

=====

Kundan Singh @ Kundan Kumar Singh, son of Late Sita Ram Singh,
resident of Mohalla- Panchabati, Gangajala, Ward No. 17, P.S. + District-
Saharsa.

.... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Pramod Mishra

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Indu Bala Pandey(App)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJENDRA KUMAR
MISHRA
ORAL ORDER

2 18-05-2016 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the

learned A.P.P. for the State.

The petitioner is accused in connection with Saharsa
P.S. Case No. 999 of 2015, registered under Sections 302,
307/34 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

The prosecution case is that on 04.12.2015, the
informant was at his parental house in village Ekparha then
received information about shot fire to his son Ritesh Ranjan at
Saharsa. Thereafter, informant and other family members
reached at Sadar Hospital, Saharsa where he saw his son Ritesh
Ranjan has died. The informant further alleged that in course of
firing of the miscreants one Shambhu Kumar Das also sustained
pellet injury, who is under treatment and the informant raised

suspicion against seven persons, named in the F.I.R., including the petitioner having hand in the murder of his son as earlier they have given threatening for dire consequences. There is land dispute in between him and co-accused Mukesh Kumar Singh.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case mere on suspicion and is in custody since 29.01.2016. Further submission is that petitioner is accused in three other cases, but he is on bail in all cases. The petitioner is in custody since 29.01.2016.

Having regard to the facts and the circumstances of the case, the petitioner, above named, is directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/-(Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the Chief Judicial Magistrate, Saharsa in connection with Saharsa P.S. Case No. 999 of 2015. Out of two sureties, one surety must be the close relative of the petitioner, who will file an affidavit showing his relation with the petitioner.

(Rajendra Kumar Mishra, J)

manish/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--