

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Review No.179 of 2016

=====

Manohar Prasad, son of Shri Bhola Mahto, resident of Village + P.O. Bahchha, P.S. Halsi, District Lakhisarai

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Education Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna
2. The Director, Primary Education, Government of Bihar, Patna
3. The Member, District Teacher Appointment Appellate Tribunal, Lakhisarai
4. The District Programme Officer (Establishment), Lakhisarai
5. Gram Panchayat Raj, Pratappur, P.O. and Block Halsi, District Lakhisarai through its Mukhiya
6. Employment Committee, Gram Panchayat Raj, Pratappur, P.O. and Block Halsi, District Lakhisarai through its Panchayat Secretary
7. Abhishak Kr., son of Chhote Lal Mahto, resident of Rasoolpur Block Suryagarha, P.S. Medanichak, District Lakhisarai

.... Respondent/s

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE NAVANITI PRASAD SINGH

and

HONOURABLE JUSTICE SMT. NILU AGRAWAL

ORAL JUDGMENT

(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE NAVANITI PRASAD SINGH)

Date: 09-08-2016

Heard learned counsel for the review petitioner, who was the appellant in the letters patent appeal. He submits that, in fact, he had moved the Block Development Officer within time but there is no evidence to show the same. We have noted this fact in our order that he alleges that he has moved the Block Development Officer in time but there is no material to prove the same. Thus, there is no error on record. Learned counsel for the review petitioner then wanted to argue the appeal on merits once again. That is not the jurisdiction in review.

Having heard the learned counsel, we are of the view that no case is made out for review. This application is misconceived and is, accordingly, dismissed.

(Navaniti Prasad Singh, J.)

(Nilu Agrawal, J.)

Arjun/Rajesh

U			
---	--	--	--