

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.18355 of 2016

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -11 Year- 2015 Thana -SOHAIL P.S District- GAYA

=====

1. Sudhir Kumar Yadav Son of late Rameshwar Yadav Resident of Village-
Nawratanpur, P.S Pratappur, District Chapra (Jharkhand)

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sudhir Kumar Sinha

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Bharat Lal (App)

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA MOHAN
SHARMA**
ORAL ORDER

3 29-06-2016 Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner as well as
the learned A.P.P for the State.

The petitioner seeks bail in a case for the offences
punishable under sections 392 and 411 of the I.P.C, sections 25 (1-
b) A, 26 and 35 of the Arms Act and section 17 of the Criminal
Law Amendment Act.

Allegedly, four unknown miscreants, out of whom
one pointing out pistol demanded cash from the informant and his
associates and snatched cash of Rs. 200/- from pocket of the
informant, Rs. 75/- from Ravindra Bhuiya, Rs. 130/- from
Yogendra Bharti, but due to timely arrival of police party the
petitioner and other co-accused were apprehended and from
possession of the petitioner one loaded country made katta and

two live cartridges besides one mobile were recovered.

Submission is of false implication, other co-accused, namely, Pachu Yadav, Lokesh Kumar Yadav and Janki Yadav have already been allowed bail which is evident from Annexure-2 and the petitioner who is suffering in custody since 22.09.2015 now has been sufficiently penalized.

The learned A.P.P. submits that the petitioner has got criminal antecedent.

In the facts and circumstances as stated above, considering detention of the petitioner, now he is directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Sri J.N. Dubey, J.M. 1st Class, Sherghati at Gaya in Suhail P.S. Case No. 11 of 2015, subject to the conditions that one of the bailors must be a near relative and another having sufficient immovable property within the territorial jurisdiction of the court concerned and the petitioner shall remain present on each and every date during trial and the default on two consecutive dates on his part without any reason shall disentitle the petitioner from privilege of bail.

(Jitendra Mohan Sharma, J)

Abhay/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--