

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Criminal Miscellaneous No.16638 of 2016

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -165 Year- 2012 Thana -MOKAMAH District- PATNA

=====

1. Hirday Rai Son of Gita Rai Resident of Village- Mekra, Police Station
Mokama District Patna..... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar Opposite Party

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Arun

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ram Sumiran Roy (App)

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA MOHAN
SHARMA**

ORAL ORDER

3 21-06-2016

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel representing the State.

The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Mokama P.S.
Case No. 165 of 2012 registered for the offences punishable under
Sections 384, 385, 387, 506, 120B/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

Allegedly, acting on an information, the petitioner was
apprehended and from his possession amount of Rs. 2150/- was
recovered besides one paper which was written regarding taking
the ransom money. It is alleged that the petitioner used to collect
ransom for Rajeev Gope and others.

Submission is of false implication and that the petitioner is
in custody since 04.10.2012, there is nothing on the record to
show that from whom the petitioner has collected the ransom,
charges has already been framed but in near future the trial is not
likely to be concluded, other co-accused Roshan Kumar, Rinku

Kumar and Manohar Rai have already been allowed bail and as such the petitioner also deserves sympathetic consideration to which the learned APP submits that the petitioner has got criminal antecedent.

In the facts and circumstances stated above, considering the period of detention, the petitioner above named is directed to be released on bail on execution of bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Sri Ashutosh Kumar, J. M. Ist Class, Barh, Patna in connection with Mokama P.S. Case No. 165 of 2012, subject to the conditions that one of the bailors must be a near relative and another having sufficient immovable property within the territorial jurisdiction of the court concerned and the petitioner shall remain present on each and every date during trial and the default on two consecutive dates on his part without any reason shall disentitle the petitioner from privilege of bail.

(Jitendra Mohan Sharma, J)

avin/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--