

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.12237 of 2016

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -371 Year- 2015 Thana -MADHUBAN District-
EASTCHAMPARAN(MOTIHARI)

- =====
1. Bachcha Lal Bhagat Son of Ramashish Bhagat
 2. Sanoj Kumar Son of Hari Bhagat
 3. Munna Bhagat, Son of Yogi Bhagat
 4. Ranjeet Kumar son of Late Dev Narayan Bhagat
 5. Bindeshwar Kumar @ Bindeshwar Bhagat, son of Late Dev Narayan Bhagat
 6. Chandradeep Kumar @ Chandeeep Kr. @ Chanardeep Kr. Son of Jado Lal Bhagat
 7. Tuntun Bhagat, son of Jai Mangal Bhagat
 8. Dharmendra Kumar @ Dhabendra Kr. Son of Jai Mangal Bhagat
 9. Sanjay Kumar, son of Jagdish Prasad
 10. Mithilesh Bhagat @ Mithilesh Kumar, son of Bachcha Lal Bhagat
 11. Pramod Kumar son of Hari Bhagat
 12. Vijay Bhagat @ Vijay Kr. son of Jung Bahadur Bhagat all are resident of village- Bahuara Bhan, P.S.- Madhuban, District- East Champaran

.... Petitioners

Versus

1. The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Dudh Nath Singh

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Satyendra Narayan Singh (App)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VIKASH JAIN

ORAL ORDER

2 17-03-2016

Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and
learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioners apprehend their arrest for the
offences alleged under Sections 147, 148, 149, 341, 323, 324,
307, 504, 379, 436 and subsequently added Section 302 of
the Indian Penal Code registered in connection with
Madhuban P.S. Case No. 371 of 2015.

3. It is submitted that the petitioners have been implicated owing to land dispute between the parties and there is case and counter case in which the petitioners' side have also received injuries.

4. Learned APP assisted by learned counsel for the informant who appears *suo motu* have been heard and submits that serious injuries have resulted in the assault committed by the accused persons.

5. Considering the nature of accusations, gravity of offence as well as the fact that one of the victims of the assault, namely, Ganesh Bhagat has subsequently died, this Court is not inclined to grant the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioners. Bail petition stands dismissed.

(Vikash Jain, J)

Chandran

U			
---	--	--	--