

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.6717 of 2016

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -229 Year- 2015 Thana -KOILWAR District- BHOJPUR

=====

Bhuar Paswan, Son of Rajendra Paswan, Resident of village - Dhanupra,
P.S. Ara Town, District - Bhojpur

.... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. Bhavesh Kumar, Advocate.

For the Opposite Party : Mr. B.N. Pandey, APP.

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA MOHAN
SHARMA**
ORAL ORDER

3 08-04-2016 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Koilwar
P.S. Case No. 229 of 2015 registered for the offence punishable
under Section 394 of the Indian Penal Code.

Allegedly three unknown miscreants after stopping
the pick-up van and assaulting the informant snatched Rs. 3000/-
from the pocket of the informant and also Samsung mobile having
double SIM. During investigation the looted mobile and SIM were
recovered from possession of Somaru Paswan and the petitioner
respectively.

Submission is of false implication and that the
petitioner has been made victim of the circumstances, he has got

clean antecedent and only for recovery of a SIM he is suffering in custody since 20.10.2015.

The learned APP opposes the prayer of bail by submitting that the looted SIM was recovered from the possession of the petitioner.

In the facts and circumstances stated above, considering the custody of the petitioner and further that he has got no criminal antecedent, the petitioner above named is directed to be enlarged on bail on execution of bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Bhojpur, Ara in connection with Koilwar P.S. Case No. 229 of 2015, subject to the conditions that one of the bailors must be a near relative and another having sufficient immovable property within the territorial jurisdiction of the court concerned and the petitioner shall remain present on each and every date during trial and the default on two consecutive dates on his part without any reason shall disentitle the petitioner from privilege of bail.

(Jitendra Mohan Sharma, J.)

KKSINHA/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--