

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.5916 of 2016

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -452 Year- 2015 Thana -SONEPUR District- SARAN

Sachita Nand Thakur @ Sachida Nand Thakur s/o Late Bipat Thakur,
Resident of village- Damodarpur, P.S.- Sonpur, District- Saran at Chapra
..... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar

..... Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Niranjan Parihar
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Yogendra Kr.Singh(App)

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA MOHAN SHARMA
ORAL ORDER

2 19-02-2016 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. representing the State.

The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Sonapur
P.S. Case No. 452 of 2015 registered for the offences punishable
under Sections 304(B) and 201/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

Kushum Devi, the daughter of the informant was
married to Umesh Thakur, the son of the petitioner on 05.05.2011
and allegedly due to non-fulfilment of demand of motorcycle, gold
chain and LED T.V., she was ultimately killed by her husband and
other in-laws including the petitioner and further her dead body
was also made traceless.

Submission is of false implication, the petitioner has
been living separately having no concern with the deceased and
her husband, there is no specific allegation against the petitioner
and, as such, he deserves sympathetic consideration.

The learned A.P.P. opposes the prayer of bail.

In the facts and circumstances stated above, considering that the petitioner is father-in-law and there is general and omnibus allegation against him and, as such, the petitioner, above named, is directed to be released on bail on execution of bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned A.C.J.M., Saran at Chapra in connection with Sonepur P.S. Case No. 452 of 2015 subject to the conditions that one of the bailors must be near relative and another having sufficient immovable property within the territorial jurisdiction of the court concerned and the petitioner shall remain present on each and every date during trial and the default on two consecutive dates on his part without any reason shall disentitle the petitioner from privilege of bail.

(Jitendra Mohan Sharma, J)

sushma/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--