

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.6644 of 2016

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -262 Year- 2015 Thana -CHAPRA TOWN District- SARAN

=====

Govind Kumar Mishra Son of Late Parmeshwar Mishra, Resident of
Village - Mala, P.S. - Chapra Muffasil, District - Saran.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ganjendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Anil Kumar (App)

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA MOHAN
SHARMA**
ORAL ORDER

2 29-02-2016 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. representing the State.

The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Chapra
Town P.S. Case No. 262 of 2015 registered for the offences
punishable under Sections 419, 420, 467, 468, 471 and 477(A) of
the Indian Penal Code.

Allegedly, the petitioner working in the accounts
section of Bihar Home Guard, Chapra got deposited the duty
allowance of five Home Guards in his own account in collusion
with Bank and when the matter came to light, the petitioner
deposited it back in the account of concerned Home Guards and
for this act, he has been debarred from the job till further order.

Submission is of false implication and that the

petitioner is poor Home Guard, he was not the accountant and he has been made scapegoat, he has not committed any offence and moreover no loss has been caused to anyone, the petitioner is suffering in custody since 02.01.2016, having no criminal antecedent and, as such, he deserves sympathetic consideration to which the learned APP opposes.

In the facts and circumstances stated above, considering the detention of the petitioner, now he is directed to be released on bail on execution of bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned C.J.M., Saran at Chapra in connection with Chapra Town P.S. Case No. 262 of 2015 subject to the conditions that one of the bailors must be near relative and another having sufficient immovable property within the territorial jurisdiction of the court concerned and the petitioner shall remain present on each and every date during trial and the default on two consecutive dates on his part without any reason shall disentitle the petitioner from privilege of bail.

(Jitendra Mohan Sharma, J)

sushma/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--