

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Review No.163 of 2016

=====

Parsan Mistry son of late Panna Mistry resident of Mainy Sangat Lane and
Pandit Ramdhani Tiwary Lane, P.S. Chowk Patna City, District-Patna.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. Umesha Nand Tiwary son of late Pandit Nand Kishore Lal Tiwary,
resident of Pandit Ramdhani Tiwary Lane, P.S. Chowk Patna City,
District-Patna.
2. Chief Executive Officer, Patna Municipal Corporation having its Head
office at Budh Marg Patna, P.S. Kotwali, District-Patna.
3. Patna Regional Development Authority through its vice Chairman,
Maurya Lok, Dak Banglow Road, P.S. Kotwali, District-Patna.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Naresh Chandra Verma, Adv.

For the Respondent/s : Mr.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE V. NATH
ORAL ORDER

5 21-09-2016

Heard Mr. Verma, learned counsel for the petitioner.

After considering the submission, the delay in filing
this review application is condoned.

By the impugned judgment under review, the Second
Appeal No. 55 of 2012 filed by the defendant assailing the
judgment and decree of affirmance has been dismissed holding
that no substantial question of law arising for consideration in this
appeal.

After considering the submissions on behalf of the
petitioner, this Court finds that no error is apparent on the face of

record and there is no sufficient reason for granting the prayer of review.

The review application is, accordingly, dismissed.

Devendra/-

U			
---	--	--	--

(V. Nath, J)

