

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.3922 of 2006

=====

Anandi Singh son of Late Chandrakanti Singh, resident of village Kochgawn, P.S.Warisaliganj, District Nawadah

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. The Deputy Collector, Land Reforms, Nasada
3. Smt.Anita Devi wife of Hare Ram Prasad, Stamp Vender, resident of Village Kochgawn, P.S.Warsaliganj, District Nawada
4. Smt.Gayatri Devi wife of Brijnandan Singh, resident of Village Kochgawn, P.S.Warisaliganj, District Nawada
5. Hare Ram Prasad, Stamp vender, son of Ram Naresh Singh, resident of Village Kochgawan, P.S.Warisaliganj, District Nawada

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : None

For the Respondent Nos. 1 & 2 : Mr. M.K.Upadhyay, AC to GP 3

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE BIRENDRA PRASAD VERMA
ORAL ORDER

5 04-08-2016

Despite repeated calls, none appears on behalf of the petitioner in support of the present writ petition, though the names of the learned counsel appearing on his behalf are printed in the daily cause list.

The learned AC to GP 3, appearing on behalf of the respondent nos. 1 and 2, submits that the order impugned is appealable and revisable, but without exhausting the alternative remedy of appeal and revision, the petitioner has straight away approached this Court in the present proceeding filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India; therefore, according to him, on that ground alone the writ petition is liable to be dismissed.

Be that as it may, since none is appearing on behalf of the petitioner, the writ petition stands dismissed for want of prosecution.

(Birendra Prasad Verma, J)

Tahir/-

U			
---	--	--	--