

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.24683 of 2016

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -38 Year- 2016 Thana -JOGAPATTI District-
WESTCHAMPARAN(BETTIAH)

=====

1. Rajkishore Sahani Son of Late Durga Sahani resident of village- Sabuni, P.S.- Ramnagar, District- West Champaran	
2. Mamta Devi Wife of Bipin Sahani resident of village- Tarkulwa, P.S.- Chautarwa, District- West Champaran	 Petitioner/s
Versus	
1. The State of Bihar	 Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Umesh Chandra Verma
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Dr.Indiwar Kumari (App)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

3 09-08-2016 Learned counsel for the petitioners is permitted to make
necessary correction in para 2 of the present application.

Yesterday the case diary was received by the State
counsel and today itself the same has been produced in the Court.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and the State.

The petitioners are in custody in connection with
Jogapatti (Nawalpur) P.S.Case No. 38 of 2016 registered under
Sections 363,364-A, 302, 201, 120-B/34 of the Indian Penal Code
pending in the court of Sri B.K.Rai, A.C.J.M.,-II, West Champaran
at Bettiah.

The prosecution case, in brief, is that on 6.2.2016 at
about 4 P.M. the informant's son Golu Kumar @ Ankit Kumar
aged about 7 years had gone to Nawalpur Bazar at Chhapal shop,
when he did not return till 5 P.M. evening, the informant's wife
Rani Devi informed the informant on cell phone and thereafter

they along with family members started searching him at all places in Bazar and village but his whereabouts could not be traced out.

It is submitted on behalf of the petitioners that the petitioners are in custody since 22.02.2016. Charge sheet has been submitted in this case. The petitioners are not named in the FIR. Their names have come in the confessional statement of co-accused. Petitioner no.2 has no criminal antecedent.

On behalf of the State, it is submitted that from perusal of paras 56, 107 of the case diary and para 27 of supplementary case diary it is evident that the son-in-law of petitioner no.1, who is the husband of petitioner no.2 runs a Gang, which is involved in abduction and ransom. The petitioners are members of the said Gang.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, I am not inclined to grant bail to the above named petitioners and same is rejected.

Any how, the court below is directed to take all necessary steps to expedite the trial of the case.

(Sudhir Singh, J)

singh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--