

\$~12

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 12360/2015**

ARUN NANDA

..... Petitioner

Represented by: **Mr.Rajeev Ranjan Pandey, Advocate**
for applicant/petitioner

versus

BANK OF BARODA AND ORS

..... Respondents

Represented by: **Mr.Arun Aggarwal, Advocate for R-1**
and R-2

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRADEEP NANDRAJOG

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MUKTA GUPTA

ORDER

%

15.01.2016

CM No.1432/2016

1. Order dated December 30, 2015 is a consent order. It records the consent of the parties by which the petitioner would make the necessary deposits with respondent No.1-bank.
2. Learned counsel for the bank states that the bank is not willing to extend the time.
3. Suffice it to state that time schedule fixed by and under the order dated December 30, 2015 was with consent of parties.
4. The application is dismissed.

PRADEEP NANDRAJOG, J.

MUKTA GUPTA, J.

JANUARY 15, 2016/mamta