

\$~23 & 29

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 11983/2015 & CM No. 31822/2015**

RAMESH KUMAR

..... Petitioner

Through: Ms. Seema Sharma, Advocate.

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ANR

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. S.N. Parashar, Advocate for R-1
& R-2.

And

+ **W.P.(C) 12067/2015 & CM No. 32032/2015**

JHANDANBAGUL

..... Petitioner

Through: Ms. Seema Sharma, Advocate.

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ANR

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. S.N. Parashar, Advocate for R-1
& R-2.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S. RAVINDRA BHAT

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE DEEPA SHARMA

ORDER

% **22.12.2015**

Issue notice. Mr. S.N. Parashar, Advocate accepts notice on behalf of respondents.

The petitioner's grievance is that even though the


2

respondent/CISF in accordance and in compliance with their policy are making current HRA payments, in respect of past dues no action has been taken. In the order dated 18.12.2015, passed in W.P.(C)11658/2015 and connected matters, this Court had held that on a constructions of the existing policy of the CISF and Rule 61 (3), those not allotted residential quarters but permitted to live outside are entitled to draw HRA. In the circumstances, the respondent CISF shall verify the records and claims of the petitioner and ensure that if any arrears are due, the same are disbursed at the earliest and in any case within six weeks from today.

The writ petitions are allowed in the above terms.

Order dasti.


S. RAVINDRA BHAT, J


DEEPA SHARMA, J

DECEMBER 22, 2015

sapna