

\$~25

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(CRL) 2655/2015

SUDHIR Petitioner

Through Mr.Puneet Garg, Adv.

versus

THE STATE OF NCT OF DELHI Respondent

Through Ms.Srilina Roy, Adv. for Ms. Nandita
Rao, ASC for the State.
SI Somil Sharma PS Nand Nagri.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHUTOSH KUMAR

ORDER

% **07.12.2015**

The petitioner was communicated vide letter dated 07.10.2015 that his prayer for parole has been rejected.

Adverse police report, lack of requisite papers regarding medical health of his mother, his having jumped the bail bonds from 03.11.2011 to 11.05.2012 and his re-arrest in another case on 12.05.2012, are the grounds for rejection of the prayer of the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that a look at the nominal roll qua the petitioner would satisfy the Court that after the petitioner jumped the bail bonds in the year 2012, he was again released on interim bail on two occasions by the High Court of Delhi.

He further submits that the petitioner has, by now, been in jail for about 9 years and his overall conduct there has been satisfactory.

Considering the period of incarceration and the requirement of every

convict to combat inner stress because of long incarceration, this Court is inclined to release the petitioner on parole for a specified period.

Let the petitioner be released on parole for a period of 30 days from the date of his release subject to the petitioner furnishing a bond in the sum of Rs.10,000/- with one surety of the like amount, to the satisfaction of the Trial Court, subject to the following conditions:-

- a) The petitioner shall surrender before the jail authorities on or before the expiry of the said period of parole.
- b) The petitioner shall not engage himself in any unlawful activity.
- c) If the petitioner is required to go out of the territory of Delhi, he would intimate about his visit to the SHO of the concerned police station.
- d) He shall furnish his mobile telephone number and the mobile telephone number of both the sureties to the SHO of the concerned police station so that in case of necessity he could be tracked and his whereabouts could be ascertained.

In case, the petitioner flouts any one of the conditions, an application could be moved by the SHO for cancellation of his parole and for taking of the coercive steps for securing his attendance.

With these observations, the petition is disposed of.

Dasti.

A copy of this order be communicated to the Superintendent of the concerned Jail for information and compliance.

ASHUTOSH KUMAR, J

DECEMBER 07, 2015/ab