

\$~13

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 10039/2015**

**OM PRAKASH SOLANKI**

..... Petitioner

Represented by: Mr.B.S.Nagar, Advocate with  
Mr.Mithlesh Kumar, Advocate

versus

**ICICI HOME FINANCE COMPANY LTD.**

..... Respondent

Represented by: Mr.Punit K.Bhalla, Advocate

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRADEEP NANDRAJOG**

**HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MUKTA GUPTA**

**ORDER**

% **12.02.2016**

**CM No.5191-92/2016**

1. The applications are dismissed for the reason the writ petition was disposed of on a settlement as per which bank expressed its willingness to reduce its demand by ₹5,00,000/- if appellant paid the remaining amount within one week.
2. Under the garb of CM No.5191/2016 the writ petitioner is now trying to rake up all and sundry issues having a bearing on quantification of the amount due to the bank, and exercise which is not permissible at this stage.
3. No costs.

**PRADEEP NANDRAJOG, J.**

**MUKTA GUPTA, J.**

**FEBRUARY 12, 2016/mamta**