

\$~43 to 47

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 9180/2015

HRD PRIVATE ITI

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Sanjay Sharawat and Mr. Ratish
Kumar, Advocates

versus

DIRECTORATE GENERAL OF EMPLOYMENT
AND TRAINING AND ORS

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Manish Mohan and Mr. Shivam
Chanana, Advocate for R-1
Mr. Vikas Chopra, Advocate for R-2

+ W.P.(C) 9181/2015

VIVEKANAND PRIVATE ITI

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Sanjay Sharawat and Mr. Ratish
Kumar, Advocates

versus

DIRECTORATE GENERAL OF EMPLOYMENT
AND TRAINING AND ORS.

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Manish Mohan and Mr. Shivam
Chanana, Advocate for R-1
Mr. Vikas Chopra, Advocate for R-2

+ W.P.(C) 9182/2015

ANMOL PRIVATE ITI

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Sanjay Sharawat and Mr. Ratish
Kumar, Advocates

versus

DIRECTORATE GENERAL OF EMPLOYMENT
& TRAINING & ORS

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Ajay Digpaul, Ms. Medha Arya and
Ms. Rishika Katyal, Advocates for R-1
Mr. Vikas Chopra, Advocate for R-3

+ W.P.(C) 9183/2015

ASHISH PRIVATE ITI

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Sanjay Sharawat and Mr. Ratish
Kumar, Advocates

versus

DIRECTORATE GENERAL OF EMPLOYMENT
& TRAINING & ORS

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Ajay Digpaul, Ms. Medha Arya and
Ms. Rishika Katyal, Advocates for R-1
Mr. Vikas Chopra, Advocate for R-3

+ W.P.(C) 9188/2015

L J PRIVATE ITI & ANR

..... Petitioners

Through: Mr. Sanjay Sharawat and Mr. Ratish
Kumar, Advocates

versus

DIRECTORATE GENERAL OF EMPLOYMENT
& TRAINING & ORS

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Ajay Digpaul, Ms. Medha Arya and
Ms. Rishika Katyal, Advocates for R-1
Mr. Vikas Chopra, Advocate for R-3

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV SHAKDHER

%

ORDER
28.09.2015

The learned counsel for the parties inform me that the captioned petitions are covered by a judgment passed by this court dated 24.09.2015, in a batch of writ petitions, in which the lead petition was : WP(C) 8849/2015, titled : Mata Santosh Devi Private ITI Vs. Directorate General of Employment and Training and Ors.

Accordingly, the captioned petitions are allowed. The respondents will act, as per the directions issued in the aforesaid judgment.

RAJIV SHAKDHER, J

SEPTEMBER 28, 2015

yg