

\$~47

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(CRL) 2083/2015

TEJPAL

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr.Nitish Chaudhary, Adv. for
Mr.Chetan Lokur, Adv.

versus

STATE

..... Respondent

Through: Mr.Jamal Akhtar, Adv. for Mr. Rahul
Mehra, Standing Counsel
SI Vijay Singh, P.S. Civil Lines

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHUTOSH KUMAR

ORDER

%

21.09.2015

The petitioner questions the order dated 10.7.2015 passed by the competent authority whereby his prayer for release on parole was rejected. The parole had been sought for searching suitable match for his daughter who has come of the marriageable age as also to reconnect social ties.

The aforesaid parole was rejected on the ground that the petitioner has committed murder of two persons and the parole guidelines provide for parole not to be ordinarily granted, except under special circumstances. Adverse police report about the grounds seeking parole being ingenuine also weighed with the competent authority.

With reference to the nominal roll, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that his overall conduct in jail has been satisfactory and nothing

adverse has been reported against him till date. The petitioner was earlier granted interim bail and was also released on parole for a month and on all occasions, the petitioner surrendered before the jail authorities on time. Learned counsel for the State submits that the address given in the petition has been verified.

Considering the above facts, the petitioner is directed to be released on parole for a period of 30 days from the date of his release subject to the petitioner furnishing a bond in the sum of Rs.5,000/- with one surety of like amount to the satisfaction of the Trial Court, subject to the following conditions:-

- e) The petitioner shall surrender before the jail authorities on or before the expiry of the said period of parole.
- f) The petitioner shall not engage himself in any unlawful activity.
- g) If the petitioner is required to go out of the territory of Delhi, he would intimate about his visit to the SHO of the concerned police station.
- h) He shall furnish his mobile telephone number and the mobile telephone number of the surety to the SHO of the concerned police station so that in case of necessity he could be tracked and his whereabouts could be ascertained.

In case, the petitioner flouts any one of the conditions, an application could be moved by the SHO for cancellation of his parole and for taking of the coercive steps for securing his attendance.

With these observations, the petition is disposed of.

Order dasti.

A copy of this order be communicated to the Superintendent of the concerned Jail for information and compliance.

ASHUTOSH KUMAR, J

SEPTEMBER 21, 2015

ns