

\$~8 & 9

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

(i) + **W.P.(C) 8610/2015 & C.M.No. 18703/2015**

JATINDER PAL SINGH Petitioner
Through: Mr. Raj Kumar Sherawat,
Advocate

versus

SHRI GURU TEGH BAHADUR INSTITUTE OF
MANAGEMENT & IT & ORS. Respondents
Through: Mr. Jasmeet Singh & Ms. Astha
Sharma, Advocates for respondents
No. 1 & 2
Mr. Vaibhav Kalra, Advocate for
respondent No.3

(ii) + **W.P.(C) 8681/2015 & C.M.No. 19041/2015**

BABU SINGH Petitioner
Through: Mr. Raj Kumar Sherawat,
Advocate

versus

SHRI GURU TEGH BAHADUR INSTITUTE OF
MANAGEMENT & IT & ORS. Respondents
Through: Mr. Jasmeet Singh & Ms. Astha
Sharma, Advocates for respondents
No. 1 & 2
Mr. Vaibhav Kalra, Advocate for
respondent No.3

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL GAUR

W.P.(C) No. 8610/2015
W.P.(C) No. 8681/2015

Page 1

%

ORDER
14.09.2015

In the above captioned two petitions, petitioners claim to have filed representation against their suspension order, which according to petitioners' counsel, has not been decided till date.

Since the relief sought in these two petitions is on identical grounds, therefore, these petitions are being heard together and are being disposed of by this common order.

Notice.

Mr. Jasmeet Singh, Advocate, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 & 2 and Mr. Vaibhav Kalra, Advocate, accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.3.

Mr. Jasmeet Singh, learned counsel for respondents No. 1 & 2, submits that if representations of petitioners, against their suspension orders, are still pending then the same would be decided within a period of four weeks and its fate would be communicated to petitioners within a week thereafter.

Let it be so done.

At this stage, learned counsel for petitioners submits that challenge in this petition is also to the demotion order, which has been passed without holding an enquiry and these writ petitions be treated as representations against the demotion order and respondents be directed to decide it while indicating as to whether the demotion order is in accordance with the rules and regulations of respondents.

Mr. Jasmeet Singh, learned counsel for respondents No. 1 & 2,

4

submits that these writ petitions would be treated as representations against demotion order of petitioners and a decision thereon would be taken by respondents within a period of eight weeks after affording an opportunity of hearing to petitioners by passing a speaking order. It is also submitted by learned counsel for respondents No.1 & 2 that during the period of suspension, petitioners would be paid the subsistence allowance as per rules.

While taking the aforesaid undertaking furnished on behalf of counsel for respondents No. 1 & 2 on record, the above captioned two petitions and applications are disposed of with liberty to petitioners to avail of the remedy, as available in the law, if need be.


(SUNIL GAUR)
JUDGE

SEPTEMBER 14, 2015

r