

\$~29

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(CRL) 1930/2015

DURGA PRASAD @ BABLU Petitioner
Through: Mr.Sumeet Verma, Advocate.

versus

STATE Respondent
Through: Ms.Richa Kapoor, ASC.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHUTOSH KUMAR

% **ORDER**
23.11.2015

The petitioner is aggrieved by the order dated 17.07.2015 passed by the competent authority whereby his prayer for being released on parole for reconnecting social ties and for other social commitments has been rejected. The aforesaid rejection is primarily on the ground that the local police apprehended law and order problem in case of his release. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has remained in jail for more than 11½ years by now and on several occasions he was released on furlough as well as on parole but on no occasion when he was let out from the jail, anything adverse was reported against him. The overall conduct of the petitioner in jail has been satisfactory.

The status report affirms the residential address of the petitioner. However, with respect to another ground namely to make efforts for admission of his minor son in a good private school, the status report is

silent.

Be that as it may, considering the ground of long incarceration of the petitioner and his overall good conduct in jail, this Court is inclined to release him on parole for a specified period.

Considering the aforesaid facts, the petitioner is directed to be released on parole for a period of 30 days from the date of his release, subject to the petitioner furnishing a bond in the sum of Rs.10,000/- with one surety of the like amount, to the satisfaction of the trial Court, subject to the following conditions:-

- e) The petitioner shall surrender before the jail authorities on or before the expiry of the said period of parole.
- f) The petitioner shall not engage himself in any unlawful activity.
- g) If the petitioner is required to go out of the territory of Delhi, he would intimate about his visit to the SHO of the concerned police station.
- h) He shall furnish his mobile telephone number and the mobile telephone number of the surety to the SHO of the concerned police station so that in case of necessity he could be tracked and his whereabouts could be ascertained.

In case, the petitioner flouts any one of the conditions, an application could be moved by the SHO for cancellation of his parole and for taking of the coercive steps for securing his attendance.

With these observations, the petition is disposed of.

A copy of this order be communicated to the Superintendent of the concerned Jail for information and compliance.

ASHUTOSH KUMAR, J

NOVEMBER 23, 2015

k