

\$~27

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(CRL) 1855/2015

JITENDER @ JEETU Petitioner
Through: Mr.Vabihav Aggarwal, Advocate.

versus

STATE Respondent
Through: Ms.Kamna Vohra, ASC.
SI Ranveer Mavi, P.S.New Ashok
Nagar/East Delhi.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHUTOSH KUMAR

% **ORDER**
19.11.2015

The petitioner is aggrieved by the order dated 22.07.2015 passed by the competent authority whereby his prayer for being released on parole for the purposes of reconnecting social ties, attending to his ailing wife and finding a suitable match for his daughters has been rejected.

The rejection by the competent authority is primarily on adverse police report about the apprehension of breach of law and order in case of the release of the petitioner on parole.

The status report affirms the address of the petitioner as also the fact that his family comprises his wife, two daughters and one son.

Learned counsel for the petitioner, with reference to the nominal roll, submits that he has remained in jail for more than nine years by now and his overall conduct in jail has been satisfactory. He further refers to the fact that

earlier also the petitioner was released on parole and furlough and on no occasion when he was let out of jail, anything adverse was reported against him.

Considering the period of incarceration of the petitioner, his overall conduct in jail being good as also the requirement of reconnecting social ties, this Court is inclined to release the petitioner on parole for a specified period.

Let the petitioner be released on parole for a period of 30 days from the date of his release, subject to the petitioner furnishing a bond in the sum of Rs.10,000/- with two sureties of the like amount, one surety being the son of the petitioner, if he is a major to the satisfaction of the trial Court, subject to the following conditions:-

- a) The petitioner shall surrender before the jail authorities on or before the expiry of the said period of parole.
- b) The petitioner shall not engage himself in any unlawful activity.
- c) If the petitioner is required to go out of the territory of Delhi, he would intimate about his visit to the SHO of the concerned police station.
- d) He shall furnish his mobile telephone number and the mobile telephone number of the surety to the SHO of the concerned police station so that in case of necessity he could be tracked and his whereabouts could be ascertained.

In case, the petitioner flouts any one of the conditions, an application could be moved by the SHO for cancellation of his parole and for taking of the coercive steps for securing his attendance.

With these observations, the petition is disposed of.

A copy of this order be communicated to the Superintendent of the concerned Jail for information and compliance.

ASHUTOSH KUMAR, J

NOVEMBER 19, 2015

k