

\$~38

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ W.P.(CRL) 1854/2015
RAVI @ ASHU

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr.Himanshu Suman, proxy to
Ms.Arundhati Katju, Adv.

versus

STATE

..... Respondent

Through: Mr.R.S. Kundu, ASC with
Mr.Vishesh Wadhwa, Adv.
SI Roshan Lal, PS Nangloi.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHUTOSH KUMAR

% **ORDER**
28.08.2015

The petitioner challenges the order dated 8.7.2015 passed by the competent authority whereby his prayer for release on parole on the ground of providing medical treatment to his ailing mother, to repair/build the house and to re-connect social ties with the family members, was rejected.

The prayer appears to have been rejected because the residential address given in the petition was not found to be correct and genuine.

The status report, which is failed filed during the course of hearing, is taken on record.

Mr. Kundu, Additional Standing Counsel submits that the grounds of illness of the mother of the petitioner has been confirmed. The residential address

of the petitioner is found to be correct.

It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that though he has been punished once but otherwise his overall conduct in jail has been satisfactory. The petitioner has remained in jail for more than eight years.

Considering aforementioned facts, this Court is inclined to release the petitioner on parole for a specific period.

The petitioner is directed to be released on parole for a period of 30 days from the date of his release subject to the petitioner furnishing a bond in the sum of Rs.10,000/- with one surety of like amount, to the satisfaction of the Trial Court, subject to the following conditions:-

- a) After release, the petitioner will meet the SHO of the concerned police station and will have his presence marked twice a week.
- b) The petitioner shall surrender before the jail authorities on or before the expiry of the said period of parole.
- c) The petitioner shall not engage himself in any unlawful activity.
- d) If the petitioner is required to go out of the territory of Delhi, he would intimate about his visit to the SHO of the concerned police station.
- e) He shall furnish his mobile telephone number and the mobile telephone number of the surety to the SHO of the concerned police station so that in case of necessity he could be tracked and his whereabouts could be ascertained.

In case, the petitioner flouts any one of the conditions, an application could

be moved by the SHO for cancellation of his parole and for taking of the coercive steps for securing his attendance.

With these observations, the petition is disposed of.

ASHUTOSH KUMAR, J

AUGUST 28, 2015

ns