

\$~15

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(CRL) 1764/2015

RANBIR SINGH ..... Petitioner  
Through: Mr.Pramod Kumar Dubey, Advocate.

versus

STATE ..... Respondent  
Through: Ms.Nandita Rao, ASC.  
SI Arvind Kumar, P.S.Jaffarpur Kalan.

**CORAM:**  
**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHUTOSH KUMAR**

**ORDER**  
**16.11.2015**

%

The petitioner has questioned the order dated 21.7.2015 passed by the competent authority whereby his prayer for being released on parole for a specified period for establishing social ties, repairing his house and for finding a suitable match for his sons has been rejected on the ground of adverse police report. Learned counsel for the petitioner, with reference to the nominal roll has suggested that on earlier occasions also the petitioner was granted parole and furlough and on no occasion, anything adverse was reported against him. It has also been submitted that the overall conduct of the petitioner in jail has been satisfactory.

The petitioner has remained in jail for more than six years.

The status report reveals that the petitioner is a person of home and hearth and has two sons who are of marriageable age. The house of the

petitioner has been found to be old. The status report also reveals that there is no apprehension of any breach of peace or law and order in case the petitioner is released on parole for a specified period for the purposes of reconnecting social ties.

Considering the aforesaid facts, the petitioner is directed to be released on parole for a period of 30 days from the date of his release, subject to the petitioner furnishing a bond in the sum of Rs.5,000/- with one surety of the like amount, to the satisfaction of the trial Court, subject to the following conditions:-

- a) The petitioner shall surrender before the jail authorities on or before the expiry of the said period of parole.
- b) The petitioner shall not engage himself in any unlawful activity.
- c) If the petitioner is required to go out of the territory of Delhi, he would intimate about his visit to the SHO of the concerned police station.
- d) He shall furnish his mobile telephone number and the mobile telephone number of the surety to the SHO of the concerned police station so that in case of necessity he could be tracked and his whereabouts could be ascertained.

In case, the petitioner flouts any one of the conditions, an application could be moved by the SHO for cancellation of his parole and for taking of the coercive steps for securing his attendance.

With these observations, the petition is disposed of.

A copy of this order be communicated to the Superintendent of the concerned Jail for information and compliance.

**ASHUTOSH KUMAR, J**

**NOVEMBER 16, 2015**

**k**