

\$~30

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(CRL) 1715/2015

LIYAKAT ALI

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr.Saurabh Kansal with
Ms.Pallavi S.Kansal, Advocates

versus

STATE

..... Respondent

Through: Ms.Kamna Vohra, ASC
S.I.Vishendra, P.S. Seema Puri.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHUTOSH KUMAR

ORDER

%

13.10.2015

The petitioner is aggrieved by the order dated 10.7.2015 passed by the competent authority whereby his prayer for release on parole has been rejected primarily on the ground of adverse police report against him.

The petitioner had prayed before the competent authority for his release on parole in order to re-establish his social ties with the family members. A look at the nominal roll of the petitioner suggests that his conduct in jail has been satisfactory. The petitioner has remained in custody for about 1½ years by now.

The status report which has been filed in Court during the course of the hearing of this application, affirms that the petitioner is a person of home and hearth and is not likely to flee away from the court of justice.

Considering the fact that the petitioner is in jail for more than one year and his conduct been satisfactory throughout, this Court is

inclined to release the petitioner on parole for a specified period.

The petitioner is directed to be released on parole for a period of 30 days from the date of his release, on his furnishing a personal bond in the sum of Rs.5,000/- with one surety of like amount, to the satisfaction of the Trial Court; subject to the following conditions:-

- a) The petitioner shall surrender before the jail authorities on or before the expiry of the said period of parole.
- b) The petitioner shall not engage himself in any unlawful activity.
- c) If the petitioner is required to go out of the territory of Delhi, he would intimate about his visit to the SHO of the concerned police station.
- d) He shall furnish his mobile telephone number and the mobile telephone number of his surety to the SHO of the concerned police station so that in case of necessity he could be tracked and his whereabouts could be ascertained.

In case, the petitioner flouts any one of the conditions, an application could be moved by the SHO for cancellation of his parole and for taking of the coercive steps for securing his attendance.

With these observations, the petition is disposed of.

Dasti.

A copy of this order be communicated to the Superintendent of the concerned Jail for information and compliance.

ASHUTOSH KUMAR, J

OCTOBER 13, 2015

Bisht