

\$~2

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 7715/2015 & CM 15130/2015

STATE BANK OF INDIA

..... Petitioner

Through : Mr Vikas Singh, Sr Advocate with Mr Rajeev
Kapur and Mr Anmol Chaudri

versus

THE NATIONAL HIGHWAY AUTHORITY OF
INDIA AND ORS

..... Respondents

Through : Mr Arun Bhatta for R-1.
Mr Vikram Jetley for R-2.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE BADAR DURREZ AHMED

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV SACHDEVA

ORDER

%

20.08.2015

The learned counsel for the respondent No. 1 has taken instructions and he states, on instructions, that it will not be possible for the respondent No.1 to agree to the request made by the petitioner for substituting the existing concessionaire (AHRTL) with Bharat Road Network Limited (BRNL) for various reasons. The learned counsel for the respondent No.1 shall indicate the reasons for this decision to the petitioner within ten days. Thereafter, it shall be open to the petitioner to take recourse to such remedies which may be available to it under law.

This petition stands disposed of.

Dasti.

BADAR DURREZ AHMED, J

**AUGUST 20, 2015
SR**

SANJEEV SACHDEVA, J