

\$~4

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **W.P.(CRL) 1661/2015**
WAHID AHMED

..... Petitioner

Through: R.K. Bali, Adv.

versus

THE STATE GOVT OF NCT OF DELHI

..... Respondent

Through: Mr.Ranbir Singh Kundu, ASC for the
State with Mr.Ankit Kumar Gulia, Mr. Siddarth
Sindu, Mr. Vishesh Wadhwa, Advs. and SI
Jitender Kumar, PS Seelampur

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHUTOSH KUMAR

ORDER

%

27.08.2015

The petitioner had prayed for being released on parole before the competent authority for providing proper medical treatment (operation for cataract) to his wife; for searching suitable match for his granddaughter and also to attend to his old and ailing wife.

The aforesaid prayer of the petitioner was rejected by the competent authority on 15.6.2015. The ground which has weighed with the competent authority to reject the prayer for release is that he had recently been given such concession/privilege of furlough, which period ended on 24.3.2015.

Mr. Kundu, Additional Standing Counsel, with reference to the status report submits that the residential address of the petitioner has been confirmed. The fact that the petitioner's wife is to be operated for cataract is also

affirmed.

The operation is scheduled for 8.9.2015.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that his overall conduct in jail has been very satisfactory and he has been given a letter of appreciation by Jail Superintendent for providing great support and help in the project 'Padho aur Padhao' and through his sincere efforts, the education level of the inmates of jail has increased.

Considering the above facts, this Court is inclined to release the petitioner on parole.

Let the petitioner be released on parole for a period of 30 days from the date of his release subject to the petitioner furnishing a bond in the sum of Rs.10,000/- with one surety of like amount, to the satisfaction of the Trial Court, subject to the following conditions:-

- a) The petitioner shall surrender before the jail authorities on or before the expiry of the said period of parole.
- b) The petitioner shall not engage himself in any unlawful activity.
- c) If the petitioner is required to go out of the territory of Delhi, he would intimate about his visit to the SHO of the concerned police station.
- d) He shall furnish his mobile telephone number and the mobile telephone number of the surety to the SHO of the concerned police station so that in case of necessity he could be tracked and his whereabouts could be ascertained.

In case, the petitioner flouts any one of the conditions, an application could be moved by the SHO for cancellation of his parole and for taking of the coercive steps for securing his attendance.

With these observations, the petition is disposed of.

Dasti.

A copy of this order be communicated to the Superintendent of the concerned Jail for information and compliance.

ASHUTOSH KUMAR, J

AUGUST 27, 2015

ns