

\$~18

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(CRL) 1600/2015

SUKEN MANDAL

..... Petitioner

Through: Ms.Srishti Banerjee, Adv. for  
Mr.Ajay Verma, Adv.

versus

STATE

..... Respondent

Through: Mr. Piyush Singhal, Adv. for  
Mr.Ashish Aggarwal, ASC for the State  
Inspector Youdh Bir Singh, PS OIA

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHUTOSH KUMAR**

**ORDER**

%

**01.09.2015**

The petitioner questions the order dated 13.5.2015 whereby his prayer for being released on parole for reconnecting social ties as also for undertaking repair of the house which is in urgent need of repairs has been rejected on the ground of adverse police report.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he has been in custody for about 12 years and his conduct in jail has been satisfactory. It has further been pointed out that the last occasion on which he was released on parole was in the year 2014.

The status report which has been filed today in the court during the course of hearing reveals that one of the grounds taken by the petitioner namely the

need for repairs of his house is incorrect as the house belonging to the petitioner has already been sold out.

Be that as it may, considering the fact that the petitioner has remained in jail for about 12 years, this Court is inclined to release the petitioner on parole.

The petitioner is directed to be released on parole for a period of 30 days from the date of his release subject to the petitioner furnishing a bond in the sum of Rs.10,000/- with one surety of like amount, to the satisfaction of the Trial Court, subject to the following conditions:-

- a) The petitioner shall surrender before the jail authorities on or before the expiry of the said period of parole.
- b) The petitioner shall not engage himself in any unlawful activity.
- c) If the petitioner is required to go out of the territory of Delhi, he would intimate about his visit to the SHO of the concerned police station.
- d) He shall furnish his mobile telephone number and the mobile telephone number of the surety to the SHO of the concerned police station so that in case of necessity he could be tracked and his whereabouts could be ascertained.

In case, the petitioner flouts any one of the conditions, an application could be moved by the SHO for cancellation of his parole and for taking of the coercive steps for securing his attendance.

With these observations, the petition is disposed of.

A copy of this order be communicated to the Superintendent of the

concerned Jail for information and compliance.

**ASHUTOSH KUMAR, J**

**SEPTEMBER 01, 2015**

**ns**