

3
\$~43 & 44 (common order)

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **RC.REV. 398/2015 & CM APPL.13941/2015**

PREM CHAND JAIN (NOW DECEASED) Petitioner

Through: Mr. S.D. Ansari, Advocate with
Mr. I. Ahmed, Advocate

versus

UMAR DARAZ & ORS Respondent

Through: Mr. G.M. Farooqui, Advocate

+ **RC.REV. 402/2015 & CM APPL.14023-14024/2015**

PREM CHAND (NOW DECEASED) THROUH HIS LRS VIJAY
KUMAR & ORS Petitioners

Through: Mr. S.D. Ansari, Advocate with
Mr. I. Ahmed, Advocate

versus

UMAR DARAZ & ORS Respondents

Through: Mr. G.M. Farooqui, Advocate

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE V.K. SHALI

ORDER

%

29.09.2015

1. After brief submission, the learned counsel for the petitioner does not press his petition as the possession of the premises has already been retrieved by the respondent-landlord.
2. In view of the statement made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, both the petitions are dismissed as not pressed.
3. Pending applications also stand disposed of.


V.K. SHALI, J.

Signature Not Verified

Digitally Signed By: AMULYA
Certify that the digital file and
physical file have been compared the
digital data is as per the physical file

SEPTEMBER 29, 2015

vk