

\$~19

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(CRL) 1444/2015,CRL.M.A. 11686/2015 & CRL.MA
11687/2015

JEET RAM KHOKHAR Petitioner
Through: Mr.Sumit Chaudhary, Advocate.

versus

THE STATE (GOVT OF NCT OF DELHI)
& ANR Respondents
Through: Mr.Piyush Singhal, Advocate for Mr.Ashish
Aggarwal, ASC.
Ms.Sanskriti Jain, Advocate for R-2.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHUTOSH KUMAR

ORDER
14.08.2015

%

The prayer of the petitioner before the competent authority for being released on parole for the twin purposes of filing SLP against the judgment and order of conviction and for maintaining social ties was rejected by order dated 15.6.215. The prayer was primarily rejected on the ground that there was no requisite police verification regarding his address as also the grounds taken by the petitioner seeking release on parole, being not genuine.

The status report has been filed during the hearing of this application. Let it be taken on record.

The status report confirms the place of residence of the daughter of the petitioner with whom the wife of the petitioner resides. The petitioner states that after he is released on parole, he shall be staying with his wife and

daughter.

The counsel for the petitioner, on being specifically questioned about the filing of the SLP before the Supreme Court of India has asserted that till date SLP has not been filed before the Supreme court of India. The conduct of the petitioner in jail has been satisfactory throughout, which fact is evinced by the nominal roll which has been annexed with the petition.

Considering the aforesaid aspect of the matter, the petitioner is directed to be released on parole for a period of 30 days from the date of his release subject to the petitioner furnishing a bond in the sum of Rs.10,000/- with two sureties of like amount to the satisfaction of the Trial Court, subject to the following conditions:-

- i) The petitioner shall surrender before the jail authorities on or before the expiry of the said period of parole.
- j) The petitioner shall not engage himself in any unlawful activity.
- k) If the petitioner is required to go out of the territory of Delhi, he would intimate about his visit to the SHO of the concerned police station.
- l) He shall furnish his mobile telephone number and the mobile telephone number of both the sureties to the SHO of the concerned police station so that in case of necessity he could be tracked and his whereabouts could be ascertained.

In case, the petitioner flouts any one of the conditions, an application could be moved by the SHO for cancellation of his parole and for taking of the coercive steps for securing his attendance.

With these observations, the petition as well as all the applications stand disposed of.

A copy of this order be communicated to the Superintendent of the concerned Jail for information and compliance.

A copy of this order be given dasti under the signature of the Court Master.

ASHUTOSH KUMAR, J

AUGUST 14, 2015

k