

\$~10

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(CRL) 1343/2015

RAVI

..... Petitioner

Through

Ms.Inderjeet Sidhu, Adv. with
Mr.Madhur Sachdeva, Adv.

versus

STATE

..... Respondent

Through

Mr.Vishesh Wadhwa, Adv. for
Mr.R.S. Kundu, ASC for the State.
SI Raj Kumar PS Hauz Qazi.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHUTOSH KUMAR

ORDER

%

02.12.2015

The petitioner was denied the privilege of parole by the competent authority by order dated 08.05.2015.

The petitioner had prayed for being released on parole on the ground of preferring SLP before the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India, arranging funds for the same and for re-establishing social ties with the family and society.

The further ground on which the petitioner asked for parole was to combat the inner stress because of long incarceration. The competent authority did not accede to such prayer of the petitioner on the ground that the petitioner had not served for atleast one year imprisonment which is a mandatory requirement for earning parole/furlough in accordance with the guidelines in that regard.

There was no requisite police verification about the address of the family members of the petitioner and this also weighed with the competent authority in rejecting his prayer.

Status report now reveals that the address of the petitioner has been verified.

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that till date the SLP has not been filed. The petitioner has remained in jail for more than one year by now and his overall conduct in jail has been satisfactory.

Considering the above facts, the petitioner is directed to be released on parole for a period of 30 days from the date of his release subject to the petitioner furnishing a bond in the sum of Rs.10,000/- with one surety of the like amount, to the satisfaction of the Trial Court, subject to the following conditions:-

- a) The petitioner shall surrender before the jail authorities on or before the expiry of the said period of parole.
- b) The petitioner shall not engage himself in any unlawful activity.
- c) If the petitioner is required to go out of the territory of Delhi, he would intimate about his visit to the SHO of the concerned police station.
- d) He shall furnish his mobile telephone number and the mobile telephone number of both the sureties to the SHO of the concerned police station so that in case of necessity he could be tracked and his whereabouts could be ascertained.

In case, the petitioner flouts any one of the conditions, an application could be moved by the SHO for cancellation of his parole and for taking of the coercive steps for securing his attendance.

With these observations, the petition is disposed of.

Dasti.

A copy of this order be communicated to the Superintendent of the concerned Jail for information and compliance.

ASHUTOSH KUMAR, J

DECEMBER 02, 2015/ab