

\$~18

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(CRL) 1346/2015

REHAN @ GANDHI

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr.Ajay Verma, Adv.

versus

STATE

..... Respondent

Through: Mr.Piyush Singhal, Adv. for
Mr.Ashish Aggarwal, ASC for the
State

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHUTOSH KUMAR

ORDER

%

14.08.2015

The prayer of the petitioner for release on parole for the purposes of filing SLP before the Supreme Court of India as well as for reconnecting social ties with the family and society has been rejected.

Hence, this petition.

The conduct of the petitioner in jail has not been uniformly good. This ground has weighed with the competent authority to reject his prayer for release on parole.

A look at the nominal roll does affirm such an opinion.

The petitioner was punished on several occasions, the last being on 25.1.2014. However, his conduct for the last one year in jail has been satisfactory.

The status report affirms the place of residence of the petitioner.

Mr. Ajay Verma, learned advocate submits that the SLP against the judgment and order of conviction has not yet been preferred before the Supreme Court of India.

Considering the fact that the conduct of the petitioner has been satisfactory for the last one year in jail and the fact that the petitioner is required to file SLP against this conviction, this Court is inclined to release him on parole.

Let the petitioner be released on parole for a period of 30 days from the date of his release subject to the petitioner furnishing a bond in the sum of Rs.10,000/- with two sureties of like amount, to the satisfaction of the Trial Court, subject to the following conditions:-

- m) The petitioner shall surrender before the jail authorities on or before the expiry of the said period of parole.
- n) The petitioner shall not engage himself in any unlawful activity.
- o) If the petitioner is required to go out of the territory of Delhi, he would intimate about his visit to the SHO of the concerned police station.
- p) He shall furnish his mobile telephone number and the mobile telephone number of both the sureties to the SHO of the concerned police station so that in case of necessity he could be tracked and his whereabouts could be ascertained.

In case, the petitioner flouts any one of the conditions, an application could be moved by the SHO for cancellation of his parole and for taking of the coercive steps for securing his attendance.

With these observations, the petition is disposed of.

Dasti.

A copy of this order be communicated to the Superintendent of the concerned Jail for information and compliance.

ASHUTOSH KUMAR, J

AUGUST 14, 2015

ns