$~16

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

\+ W.P.(CRL) 1294/2015

ABHISHEK @ PRITAM ..... Petitioner

Through Mr.Puneet Singhal, Adv.

versus

STATE ..... Respondent

Through Ms.Sumi Anand, Adv. for
Mr.Avninder Singh, ASC.
SI Bhanu Pratap PS Mandir Marg.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHUTOSH KUMAR

O R D E R

% 11.08.2015

The petitioner sought release on parole on the ground of preferring SLP before the Supreme Court of India as also to reconnect social ties with his family and society. The aforesaid prayer was rejected by the Competent Authority on 08.05.2015.

The nominal roll of the petitioner would reveal that the conduct of the petitioner in jail has been satisfactory.

The status report which has been filed on behalf of the State confirms the residential address of the petitioner.

Since the conduct of the petitioner has been satisfactory in jail and he is required to file SLP before Supreme Court of India, this Court is inclined to grant parole to the petitioner.

Let the petitioner be released on parole for a period of 30 days from the date of his release on his furnishing a bond in the sum of Rs.10,000/- with two sureties of the like amount, to the satisfaction of the Trial Court, subject to the following conditions:-

a) The petitioner shall not engage himself in any unlawful activity.
b) He shall furnish his mobile telephone number and the mobile telephone number of both the sureties to the SHO of the concerned police station in Delhi so that in case of necessity he could be tracked and his whereabouts could be ascertained.
c) Since the petitioner is a resident of Uttar Pradesh, he would inform the SHO of the concerned police station at Delhi and would also give the tentative place of stay to the aforesaid SHO of the concerned police station. If the petitioner visits his home town, within 48 hours of his arrival, he would intimate the SHO of Udaipur, P.S District Pratap Garh, of his arrival in his home town.
d) The petitioner would get his presence marked in the police station at Udaipur on every third day of the week.
e) The petitioner shall surrender before the jail authorities on or before the expiry of the said period of parole.

In case, the petitioner flouts any one of the conditions, an application could be moved by the SHO for cancellation of his parole and for taking of the coercive steps for securing his attendance.

With these observations, the petition is disposed of.

Dasti.

A copy of this order be communicated to the Superintendent of the concerned Jail for intimation to the petitioner and compliance.

ASHUTOSH KUMAR, J

AUGUST 11, 2015
ab