

3

\$~5 & 6

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **BAIL APPLN. 400/2015**

AMIT

..... Petitioner

Through : Mr.Arun Sharma, Advocate.

versus

STATE GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI

..... Respondent

Through : Mr.Sanjeev Sabharwal, APP with SI
Mousam.

Counsel for the complainant
(Appearance not given)

AND

+ **BAIL APPLN. 1232/2015**

SUNIL

..... Petitioner

Through : Mr.Arun Sharma, Advocate.

versus

THE STATE (GOVT OF NCT OF DELHI)

..... Respondent

Through : Mr.Sanjeev Sabharwal, APP with SI
Mousam.

Counsel for the complainant
(Appearance not given)

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.P.GARG

ORDER

% **29.09.2015**

1. The petitioners seek anticipatory bail under Section 438 Cr.P.C. in case FIR No.488/2014 registered under Sections 376/506/34 IPC at PS Mayur Vihar. Status report is on record.

BAIL APPLN. 400/2015 & connected matter

page 1 of 2

4

2. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties including that of the complainant and have examined the file. The petitioners were granted interim protection vide orders dated 27.02.2015 (in Bail Application 400/2015) and 03.07.2015 (in Bail application 1232/2015) and they were directed to join the investigation as and when required. Undisputably, the petitioners have joined the investigation since then. The parties were acquainted with each other since long. The prosecutrix had accompanied with the petitioners at various places. Physical relations were established. No complaint at the earliest was lodged.

3. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, the petitioners are granted anticipatory bail and in the event of arrest, they be released on furnishing personal bond in the sum of ₹50,000/- with one surety in the like amount each to the satisfaction of the SHO/Investigating officer. They shall, however, join the investigation as and when required.

4. The bail applications stand disposed of.


S.P.GARG, J

SEPTEMBER 29, 2015 / tr