

\$~19

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(CRL) 34/2015**

PAWAN @ AMIT

..... Petitioner

Through : Mr.M.L.Yadav, Advocate.
versus

STATE

..... Respondent

Through : Mr.Rahul Mehra, Standing Counsel
with Mr.Tushar Sannu, Advocate.
SI Mahavir, PS Anand Parbat.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.P.GARG

ORDER

%

14.09.2016

CrI.M.A.12681/2016

1. Vide order dated 08.01.2015, the petitioner was granted parole for a period of three weeks from the date of his release, on his furnishing personal bond in the sum of ₹25,000/- with one surety in the like amount. The petitioner could not furnish the surety due to poverty. Vide order dated 15.12.2015, this amount was reduced to ₹20,000/-. Again, present application has been moved for reduction of surety amount as the petitioner could not furnish the required surety.

2. Considering the gravity of the offence whereby the petitioner was awarded imprisonment for life, I find no sufficient ground for further reduction of surety. Dismissed.

S.P.GARG, J.

SEPTEMBER 14, 2016 / sa